

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Vigenia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/514,460	02/28/2000	Neta Amit	MS1.2793US	8502
22801	7590 06/27/2006		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAY		BOUTAH, ALINA A		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE. WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/514,460	AMIT ET AL.	AMIT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Alina N. Boutah	2143			

	Alina N. Boutah	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	
 THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a No wing replies: (1) an amendm otice of Appeal (with appeal t	otice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		eat forth in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for re r than three months after the ma	amount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 m	ust he filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing	a brief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);	,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		nally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of I	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		parate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or by vided below or appended.) 🖂 will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 23-25.	,		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Mada	. 4
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, ,,	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F	Paper No(s)	
13. Other:			
		DAVIDWILEY	
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the cited art fails to teach that at least one message may be deleted "by a scavenger thread of the sender." The PTO respectfully submits that this is taught by Hickson as cited. On page 6 and 7 of Applicant's remark, Applicant argues that Hickson only teaches processing messages at a receiver-side, whereas the claims23 and 25 set forth subject matter that pertains to sender-side. However, the claims specifically states that the deleting is being performed by a scavenger thread OF the sender." This clearly indicates that any message thread received from the sender is deleted after it expires. In this case, the receiver deletes the message thread. Had Applicant amended the claim to recite the deleting being performed by a scavenger thread AT the sender, the rejection would not have been sustainable. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir.1993).